1		
2		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIO P. VALENZUELA,	No. 2:23-cv-02494-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	THORNTONA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By an order filed January 22, 2024, plaintiff was ordered to file a signed complaint and	
18	was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be	
19	dismissed. ECF No. 8. The thirty-day period has expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the	
20	court's order and has not filed a signed complaint.	
21	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is	
22	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and	
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice and all	
24	pending motions (ECF Nos. 2, 7) terminated.	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
28	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
		1

"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: March 25, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE